

8.1. (a) Based upon the following records, determine the value of the inventory to be recorded.

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Goods on hand (paid) | \$ 59,000 |
| Goods on hand (owed) | 42,000 |
| Goods on hand (consignment) | 15,000 |
| Goods ordered but not received (FOB sh. pt.) | 24,000 |
| Goods ordered but not received (FOB dest.) | 12,000 |
| | <u>\$152,000</u> |

(b) How is this value treated?

SOLUTION

(a) The inventory is valued as follows:

| | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|
| Goods on hand (paid) | \$ 59,000 |
| Goods on hand (owed) | 42,000 |
| Goods ordered (FOB shipping point) | 24,000 |
| Total inventory on hand | <u>\$125,000</u> |

(b) Balance Sheet

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Current Assets | |
| Merchandise Inventory | \$125,000 |

8.2. In Prob. 8.1, if \$25,000 of inventory had been sold but, at the time of the accounting valuation, had not been shipped, what would the value of the inventory then be?

SOLUTION

$$\$125,000 - \$25,000 = \underline{\$100,000}$$

Under the periodic system, no adjustment to the Inventory account is made until the end of the period, when a new count is made.

8.3. The inventory information for a product is given below:

| | | | |
|---------|-----------|----------|------|
| Jan. 1 | Inventory | 12 units | \$15 |
| Feb. 16 | Purchase | 8 units | 16 |
| Mar. 4 | Purchase | 15 units | 18 |
| Oct. 15 | Purchase | 10 units | 20 |

After taking a physical count, we find that we have 14 units on hand. Determine the ending inventory cost by the FIFO method.

SOLUTION

| | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Most recent purchase (Oct. 15) | 10 units @ \$20 = \$200 |
| Next most recent purchase (Mar. 4) | 4 units @ 18 = 72 |
| Ending inventory | <u>14</u> <u>\$272</u> |

Remember that values are assigned to the inventory based on the latest cost (the most recent purchases).

8.4. Assign a value to the ending inventory under FIFO using the following cost data:

| | |
|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Beginning inventory | 200 units @ \$10 = \$2,000 |
| First purchase | 300 units @ 12 = 3,600 |
| Second purchase | 300 units @ 11 = 3,300 |
| Available for sale | <u>800</u> <u>\$8,900</u> |

An inventory count at the end of the period reveals that 450 units are still on hand.

SOLUTION

| | |
|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Second purchase | 300 units @ \$11 = \$3,300 |
| First purchase | 150 units @ 12 = 1,800 |
| Beginning inventory | <u>450</u> <u>\$5,100</u> |

8.5. Based upon the information in Prob. 8.4, determine the cost of goods sold for the period.

SOLUTION

There are two methods to determine the cost of those goods sold.

| | |
|---------------------------|----------------|
| (a) Total goods available | \$8,900 |
| Ending inventory | <u>5,100</u> |
| Cost of goods sold | <u>\$3,800</u> |

Alternative Method

| | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| (b) Beginning inventory | 200 units @ \$10 = \$2,000 |
| First purchase | <u>150 units @ 12 = 1,800</u> |
| Cost of goods sold | <u>350 units \$3,800</u> |

Since there were a total of 800 units available and 450 were on hand at the end of the period, 350 units were sold ($800 - 450 = 350$).

8.6. Product information for item 204 is as follows:

| | | |
|---------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Jan. 1 | Inventory | 50 units @ \$10 = \$ 500 |
| Apr. 24 | Purchase | 30 units @ 8 = 240 |
| July 10 | Purchase | 40 units @ 7 = 280 |
| Nov. 15 | Purchase | <u>35 units @ 8 = 280</u> |
| | Units available | <u>155</u> Total cost <u>\$1,300</u> |

By a physical count, it is estimated that 95 units are left in the ending inventory. (a) What is the value of the ending inventory under FIFO valuation? (b) Determine the cost of goods sold.

SOLUTION

| | |
|------------------|----------------------------|
| (a) Nov. 15 | 35 units @ \$8 = \$280 |
| July 10 | 40 units @ 7 = 280 |
| Apr. 24 | <u>20* units @ 8 = 160</u> |
| Ending inventory | <u>95 units \$720</u> |

*A total of 95 units are on hand. Since you have 75 units (35 + 40) from the two most recent purchases, only 20 of 30 units of the April 24 purchase are needed.

| | |
|---------------|-----------------------|
| (b) \$1,300 | Total amount of goods |
| <u>- 720</u> | Ending inventory |
| <u>\$ 580</u> | Cost of goods sold |

Alternative Method

| | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Jan. 1 | 50 units @ \$10 = \$500 |
| Apr. 24 | <u>10 units @ 8 = 80</u> |
| Cost of goods sold | <u>60 units \$580</u> |

Note that since there were 155 units available and 95 units were on hand, 60 units ($155 - 95$) were used to determine the cost of goods sold.

- 8.7. Based upon the following information, determine under LIFO valuation (a) ending inventory of 120 units and (b) its cost of goods sold.

| | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Beginning inventory | 100 units @ \$15 = \$1,500 |
| Apr. 30 | 100 units @ 17 = 1,700 |
| Sept. 30 | 100 units @ 18 = 1,800 |
| Dec. 30 | 100 units @ 21 = 2,100 |
| Available for sale | <u>400 units</u> <u>\$7,100</u> |

SOLUTION

| | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| (a) Beginning inventory | 100 units @ \$15 = \$1,500 |
| Apr. 30 | <u>20 units @ 17 = 340</u> |
| Ending inventory | <u>120 units</u> <u>\$1,840</u> |

| | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| (b) Dec. 30 | 100 units @ \$21 = \$2,100 |
| Sept. 30 | 100 units @ 18 = 1,800 |
| Apr. 30 | <u>80 units @ 17 = 1,360</u> |
| Cost of goods sold | <u>280 units</u> <u>\$5,260</u> |

| | | |
|--------|---------------|--------------------|
| Proof: | \$7,100 | Available units |
| | <u>-1,840</u> | Ending inventory |
| | \$5,260 | Cost of goods sold |

- 8.8. Based upon the following information in a rising price market, determine (a) ending inventory of 260 units under LIFO and (b) the cost of goods sold.

| | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Beginning inventory | 100 units @ \$ 5 = \$ 500 |
| Mar. 30 purchase | 100 units @ 6 = 600 |
| Sept. 30 purchase | 100 units @ 8 = 800 |
| Nov. 30 purchase | 100 units @ 9 = 900 |
| Dec. 30 purchase | <u>100 units @ 12 = 1,200</u> |
| | <u>500 units</u> <u>\$4,000</u> |

SOLUTION

| | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| (a) Beginning inventory | 100 units @ \$5 = \$ 500 |
| Mar. 30 purchase | 100 units @ 6 = 600 |
| Sept. 30 purchase | <u>60 units @ 8 = 480</u> |
| Ending inventory | <u>260 units</u> <u>\$1,580</u> |

- (b) The ending inventory is \$1,580 and the cost of goods sold is (\$4,000 - \$1,580 = \$2,420) or computed as

| | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|
| Dec. 30 | 100 units @ \$12 = \$1,200 |
| Nov. 30 | 100 units @ 9 = 900 |
| Sept. 30 | <u>40 units @ 8 = 320</u> |
| Cost of goods sold | <u>240*</u> <u>\$2,420</u> |

*Since there were 500 units in the total inventory, and 260 remained, 240 units had been sold.

- 8.9. If in Prob. 8.8 the management had decided to delay the Dec. 30 purchase until the following year (in order to show a higher profit based on a lower cost) what would be the cost of goods sold without the last December purchase?

SOLUTION

Four hundred units (the December purchase of 100 units is eliminated) were available to be sold and 260 units remained on hand. Thus the 140 units sold will be costed as follows:

| | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Nov. 30* | 100 units @ \$9 = \$ | 900 |
| Sept. 30 | 40 units @ 8 = | 320 |
| Cost of goods sold | <u>140</u> | <u>\$1,220</u> |

*No December purchase is considered.

Therefore, management now has a cost of \$1,220 rather than \$2,420 (Prob. 8.8), thus meeting its objective of higher profits. This lower cost will then yield a higher profit, yet it keeps the ending inventory at the same figure.

- 8.10. The beginning inventory and various purchases of product Y were as follows:

| | | | |
|---------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Jan. 1 | Beginning inventory | 8 units @ \$10 = \$ | 80 |
| Apr. 4 | First purchase | 12 units @ 11 = | 132 |
| July 16 | Second purchase | 16 units @ 12 = | 192 |
| Aug. 25 | Third purchase | 15 units @ 13 = | 195 |
| Dec. 24 | Fourth purchase | <u>18 units @ 14 =</u> | <u>252</u> |
| | Available for sale | <u>69 units</u> | <u>\$851</u> |

An inventory count disclosed that 30 units of product Y were on hand. (a) Determine the ending inventory under the Weighted Average method. (b) Determine the cost of goods sold.

SOLUTION

- (a) (1) $\$851 \div 69 = \12.33 per unit
(2) $\$12.33 \times 30 = \370 Ending inventory*

*Rounded off to the nearest dollar.

- (b) Since 69 units were available for sale and 30 of those units were on hand, $69 - 30 = 39$ units were sold. To determine the total cost of goods sold, multiply the units sold by the average cost of each unit. Therefore:

$$39 \text{ units} \times \$12.33 \text{ per unit} = \underline{\underline{\$481}}$$

Alternative Method

| | |
|----------------------|--------------|
| Total value of goods | \$851 |
| Ending inventory | <u>-370</u> |
| Cost of goods sold | <u>\$481</u> |

8.11. In an inflationary market, Essex Corp. bought the following items:

| | | |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Jan. 1 | Beginning inventory | 150 units @ \$2.00 = \$ 300 |
| May 14 | First purchase | 300 units @ 4.00 = 1,200 |
| Oct. 6 | Second purchase | 300 units @ 5.00 = 1,500 |
| Nov. 14 | Third purchase | 250 units @ 6.00 = 1,500 |
| Dec. 19 | Fourth purchase | 200 units @ 7.50 = 1,500 |
| | Available for sale | <u>1,200 units</u> <u>\$6,000</u> |

If 225 units are left on hand, determine (a) the ending inventory in this inflationary period under the average cost method and (b) the cost of goods sold.

SOLUTION

- (a) $\$6,000 \div 1,200 \text{ units} = \5 per unit
 $225 \text{ units on hand} \times \$5 \text{ per unit} = \underline{\$1,125} \text{ Ending inventory}$
- (b) $1,200 \text{ units} - 225 \text{ units on hand} = 975 \text{ units sold}$
 $975 \times \$5 \text{ per unit} = \underline{\$4,875} \text{ Cost of goods sold}$

To prove that both items (a) and (b) are correct:

| | |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| \$1,125 | Ending inventory |
| +4,875 | Cost of goods sold |
| <u>\$6,000</u> | Goods available for sale |

8.12. In a deflationary market, Robert Kincaid bought the following items:

| | | |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Jan. 1 | Beginning inventory | 200 units @ \$7.50 = \$1,500 |
| May 14 | First purchase | 250 units @ 6.00 = 1,500 |
| Oct. 6 | Second purchase | 300 units @ 5.00 = 1,500 |
| Nov. 14 | Third purchase | 300 units @ 4.00 = 1,200 |
| Dec. 19 | Fourth purchase | 150 units @ 2.00 = 300 |
| | Available for sale | <u>1,200 units</u> <u>\$6,000</u> |

If 225 units are left on hand, determine (a) the ending inventory in this deflationary period under the average cost method and (b) the cost of goods sold.

SOLUTION

- (a) $\$6,000 \div 1,200 \text{ units} = \5 per unit
 $225 \text{ units on hand} \times \$5 \text{ per unit} = \underline{\$1,125} \text{ Ending inventory}$
- (b) $1,200 \text{ units} - 225 \text{ units on hand} = 975 \text{ units sold}$
 $975 \text{ units} \times \$5 \text{ per unit} = \underline{\$4,875} \text{ Cost of goods sold}$

Proof: $\$1,125 + \$4,875 = \$6,000 \text{ Goods available for sale}$

Note that in both this problem (8.12) and Prob. 8.11, the ending inventory value is the same regardless of inflation (rising prices) or deflation (falling prices). This occurs because you are averaging the entire accounting period. In the next problem, different values do occur, because the inventory will be valued under FIFO and LIFO as well as average cost.

8.13. The beginning inventory and various purchases of product B were as follows:

| | | |
|---------|----------|-------------------|
| Jan. 1 | Balance | 8 units @ \$10.00 |
| Mar. 5 | Purchase | 12 units @ 11.00 |
| June 9 | Purchase | 16 units @ 12.00 |
| Aug. 20 | Purchase | 15 units @ 13.00 |
| Nov. 1 | Purchase | 18 units @ 14.00 |

An inventory count under the periodic system disclosed that 30 units of product B were on hand. Determine the ending inventory cost by (a) first-in-first out; (b) last-in-first-out; (c) weighted average.

SOLUTION

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| (a) Most recent purchase (Nov. 1) | 18 units @ \$14 = \$252 |
| Next most recent (Aug. 20) | 12 units @ 13 = 156 |
| Total units | 30 Total cost \$408 |
| (b) Earliest cost (Jan. 1) | 8 units @ \$10 = \$ 80 |
| Next earliest (Mar. 5) | 12 units @ 11 = 132 |
| Next earliest (June 9) | 10 units @ 12 = 120 |
| Total units | 30 Total cost \$332 |
| (c) | 8 units @ \$10 = \$ 80 |
| | 12 units @ 11 = 132 |
| | 16 units @ 12 = 192 |
| | 15 units @ 13 = 195 |
| | 18 units @ 14 = 252 |
| | 69 Total cost \$851 |
| Total units | 30 Total cost \$370* |

The weighted average cost per unit is $\$851 \div 69 = \12.33 . The cost of 30 units on hand is calculated as $\$12.33 \times 30 = \370^* .

*Rounded to the nearest dollar.

8.14. From the following information, determine the cost of inventory by the first-in-first-out (FIFO), the last-in-first out (LIFO), and the weighted average cost method.

| Unit Number | Inventory January 1, 19X8 | March Purchases | June Purchases | September Purchases | Number of Units in Inventory December 31, 19X8 |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|--|
| 101 | 3 @ \$480 | 5 @ \$490 | 6 @ \$500 | 5 @ \$510 | 6 |
| 103 | 6 @ 208 | 10 @ 210 | 11 @ 220 | 7 @ 222 | 7 |
| 105 | 4 @ 200 | 5 @ 200 | 4 @ 210 | 2 @ 215 | 3 |
| 107 | 3 @ 225 | 9 @ 240 | 7 @ 245 | 4 @ 250 | 5 |
| 109 | 1 @ 295 | 1 @ 300 | 3 @ 315 | — | 2 |

- 8.15.** Determine the gross profit under the (a) FIFO and (b) LIFO assumptions, given the following information:

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| Sales | \$40,000 |
| Goods available for sale | 12,000 |
| Ending inventory (under FIFO) | 3,500 |
| Ending inventory (under LIFO) | 6,500 |

SOLUTION

| | | FIFO | LIFO |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Sales | | \$40,000 | \$40,000 |
| Cost of Goods Sold: | | | |
| Goods Available for Sale | \$12,000 | | \$12,000 |
| Less End. Inventory | <u>3,500</u> | | <u>6,500</u> |
| Cost of Goods Sold | | <u>8,500</u> | <u>5,500</u> |
| Gross Profit | | <u>\$31,500</u> | <u>\$34,500</u> |

Since FIFO produced a lower ending inventory, the corresponding profit was lower. Also, as a proof, FIFO produced a higher cost of goods sold, therefore yielding a lower gross profit.

